## Final Assessment Thesis Design Faculty of Sciences

Supervisor

Daily supervisor



Student's name	:			Studen	tID :		
Master programme :		Course code : X_405087					
		Credit points : 0					
Title of thesis	:		•••••			•••••	
Supervisor	:						
Daily supervisor	:						
Second reviewer	:						
Weights of marks	: Thesis design (	3/4) Present	ation	(1/4)			
Final Grade Thesis De	sign: Remarks:						
		i	•	ī	ī	i	•
Research Design		excellent	good	satisfactory	sufficient	insufficient	n.a.
Research Question		0	0	0	0	0	0
Theoretical background		0	0	0	0	0	0
Research Plan		0	0	0	0	0	0
Independence/ initiative		0	0	0	0	0	0
Original contribution		0	0	0	0	0	0
Working attitude		0	0	0	0	0	0
Cooperation		0	0	0	0	0	0
Planning		0	0	0	0	0	0
Use of literature Structure		0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0
Lay-out		0	0	0	0	0	0
Presentation Context		0	0	0	0	0	0
Contents		0	0	0	0	0	0
Media use		0	0	0	0	0	0
Quality of narrative style		0	0	0	0	0	0
Discussion / defense		0	0	0	0	0	0
,							
Names and signatures							
date: Amsterdam,							

Second reviewer

## Clarification of the terms

Research design

Research Question: Were the research questions and/or problem statements identified and

developed properly and with care?

Theoretical background: Did the student identify and summarize the relevant literature required to carry

out the project?

Research Plan: Did the student develop an appropriate research plan and use relevant

methodologies. Is the plan developed in sufficient detail?

Independence/ initiative: Did the student take initiative of his/her own to carry out the thesis design? Did

the student show a level of independence?

Original contribution: Did the student make an original contribution to the research proposal?

Working attitude: How was the overall working attitude of the student?

Cooperation: Did the student actively participate in work discussions? How was the

cooperation with other group members during the research design? How were

the student's communicative skills?

Planning: Is the proposed planning realistic and does it have an appropriate level of

detail?

Use of literature: Is the quality and quantity of the literature sufficient? Is the literature cited

adequately in an accurate list of references?

Structure: Is the thesis design clearly written and structured?

Lay-out: Is there a proper use of figures and graphs? Was the overall layout appealing? Is

the use of the language correct as to grammar and spelling?

Presentation

Context: Was the proposal placed in the correct scientific context? Is the presentation of

the context understandable for a non-expert in the field?

Contents: Does the presentation give an accurate and precise description of the proposed

project? Was the scientific question presented clearly?

Media use: Did the student correctly use slides, powerpoint, animations, etc.?

Quality of narrative style: How was the narrative style of the student, including the nonverbal

communication?

Discussion / defense: Were the questions answered correctly?